The Effect of Posterior Pericardiotomy on Postoperative Pericardial Effusion in Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery
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Abstract

Background: Pericardial effusion is commonly seen after coronary artery bypass surgery; large pericardial effusion develops at 4 to 10 days postoperatively in 30% of patients undergoing cardiac surgery. However, regional pericardial effusions are often localized posteriorly; one of the recent solutions to reduce this complication is posterior pericardiotomy, which also significantly reduces late pericardial effusion and late posterior tamponade. Aim: our target is to assess the impact and effectiveness of posterior pericardiotomy in reducing post-cardiac surgery pericardial effusion and tamponade. Patients and Methods: This prospective randomized case-controlled study was carried out in 64 patients. They were randomly assigned to one of 2 groups of 32 patients each. Longitudinal incision in the pericardium was made parallel and posterior to the left phrenic nerve in group one and not in group II, then we evaluated the results between the two groups as regards post operative pericardial effusion. Results: There were no statistically significant differences between the groups with respect to demographic or operative characteristics. The pericardiotomy group had lower incidence of postoperative pericardial effusion as 68.75% of the Pericardiotomy group had no effusion in the first seven days, while it was 43.3 % in the control group, and none of the Pericardiotomy group developed severe pericardial effusion at any time. Conclusion: posterior pericardiotomy significantly reduce postoperative effusion and tamponade, and we recommend it in all the cases of cardiac surgery operations.
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Introduction

Pericardial effusion is commonly seen after coronary artery bypass surgery. It is usually small in amount and inconsequential. However, in some cases, pericardial effusion may be circumferential and quite large or it may be regional and loculated, either of which may impede cardiac filling, reduce cardiac output, and lead to tamponade. Regional pericardial effusions are often localized posteriorly. Previous reports have dealt with the clinical features and management of this problem and have emphasized the high mortality rates associated with delayed treatment. Large pericardial effusions develop at 4 to 10 days postoperatively in 30% of patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Large pericardial effusions are more common in patients with excessive early bleeding, after valve operations, and in cardiac transplant recipients. Effusions reach maximum size on the 10th day postoperatively in most cases, and generally regress spontaneously thereafter. Cardiac tamponade develops in only 1% of patients with pericardial effusion. Delayed tamponade may arise several days to weeks after the operation. Although delayed tamponade is infrequent, it is more...
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common in patients treated with anticoagulants\(^1\). Delayed moderate to massive pericardial effusion and low output syndrome with renal dysfunction is well known in cardiac surgery\(^{1,3,4}\).

After coronary operation, the space anterior to the heart may accommodate fluid and is easily drained from a chest drain; but behind the heart, adhesions between the inferior surface of the heart and the diaphragm may create an enclosed space\(^5\). Mulay and associates\(^6\) have demonstrated that posterior Pericardiotomy could drain freely into the left pleural space thereby reducing the prevalence of pericardial effusion. Late cardiac tamponade is a rare but serious complication, and it has been estimated to occur in up to 6% of patients after heart operations\(^7\). Significant delayed pericardial effusion occurred in 1.11%, and 40% of these patients have posterior cardiac tamponade, Posterior pericardiotomy also significantly reduces late pericardial effusion and late posterior tamponade\(^8\). Posterior pericardiotomy has recently been reported to reduce the prevalence of echocardiographically defined pericardial effusions from 40% in a control group to 8% in a pericardiotomy group\(^6\).

As this is an important issue in post cardiac surgery and as posterior pericardiotomy is one of its recent solutions, we conducted this prospective study to test the effectiveness of posterior pericardiotomy in reducing the postoperative pericardial effusion, and the development of late posterior cardiac effusions.

**Patients and Methods**

This prospective randomized case controlled study was carried out in 64 patients. They were randomly assigned to one of 2 groups of 32 patients each. They underwent coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG); we did not involved CABG with combined valve surgery; between December 2008 and May 2010 in Suez Canal University Hospital. Longitudinal incision in the pericardium was made parallel and posterior to the left phrenic nerve, extending from the left inferior pulmonary vein to the diaphragm (posterior pericardiotomy) in group I as described by Mulay and coworkers\(^6\). Informed consent was obtained from all patients. Posterior pericardiotomy was not performed in group II.

Two chest tubes (one in the left pleural cavity and the other in anterior mediastinum) were inserted, and the pericardium was left open anteriorly in both groups. No drain was placed behind the heart to avoid tube-induced ventricular arrhythmias in both groups. Anesthetic medication and surgical techniques were similar in each group. Cardiopulmonary bypass was established with a roller pump non-pulsatile flow after anticoagulation with heparin (3 mg/kg), and activated clotting time was maintained for more than 450 seconds. Membrane oxygenators (Meados, Germany) were used in all cases. Heparin was reversed by protamine (3.5 mg/kg) at the end of the cardiopulmonary bypass. The left pleural cavity was opened in all patients, and patients with dense adhesion of left lung were excluded. All distal anastomosis was done in a single cross clamp period. The left internal thoracic artery (LITA) was used in all patients. Patients’ demographics is summarized in Table (1). After routine closure of the chest, continuous suction (10-20 mmHg) was applied to the drains, which were milked and stripped at 30-minute intervals to ensure tube patency. Chest tubes were removed when the drainage was less than 20 ml/h for consecutive 4 hours. The presence of pericardial effusion was assessed by 2-dimensional echocardiography, which was performed in postoperative days 7 before discharge, 15 days, and one month after discharge by
one experienced cardiologist. The presence of pericardial effusion on 2-dimensional echocardiography was assessed; the maximum diastolic separation between pericardium and epicardium was measured at the level of the tip of mitral valve leaflet, we defined mild effusion as pericardial effusion less than 1 cm not all around the heart, moderate as pericardial effusion all around the heart and more than 1 cm but less than 2 cm, and severe as pericardial effusion more than 2 cm either localized or all around, cardiac tamponade was defined when any amount of effusion existed and was compressing the heart. Patients were examined echocardiographically after discharge. Patients with posterior effusion were recorded. Late posterior effusions and tamponade were recorded. In addition, results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The Chi-squared test and Fisher’s exact test were used to compare groups. SPSS software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for analyses. A p value <0.05 was considered statistical significance.

Results

The differences between the 2 groups as regards to age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, number of the distal anastomosis, duration of cross clamp, total bypass time, etc..., were not statistically significant (Table 1). As regards postoperative morbidity, myocardial infarction, renal impairment, stroke, ventilation time, and total hospital stay there were no statistically significant difference (Table 2).

Re-intubation was required because of respiratory insufficiency in two patients in group I and in 2 patients in group II. Sternal dehiscence was developed in one patient in each group. The only statistically significant difference between the two groups as regards post operative data was the incidence of post operative atrial fibrillation with trends to be lower in posterior pericardiotomy group (p value <0.005) (Table 2). As regards Echocardiography data and pericardial effusion, 68.75 % of the Pericardiotomy group had no effusion in the first seven days, while it was 43.3 % in the control group, and none of the Pericardiotomy group developed severe pericardial effusion at any time, while two patients (6.25%) had severe pericardial effusion in the non-pericardiotomy group (Table 3). One case of tamponade (3.12%) in the control group required reexploration, but no one of the Pericardiotomy group had tamponade (Table 3).

Discussion

A previous study by Kuralay and colleagues(10) on the effects of posterior pericardiotomy on postoperative pericardial effusion showed a significant reduction in the incidence of postoperative pericardial effusion. Early and late effusions developed in 54% and 21% of patients without pericardiotomy respectively, and in none of those who had a posterior pericardiotomy. The incidence of delayed tamponade was also significantly lower in those who had a pericardiotomy (0% vs. 10%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Demographic Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age (yrs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male gender (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection Fraction (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic clamp time (min.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bypass time (min.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graft per patient</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Another study demonstrated the effectiveness of a posterior pericardiotomy in decreasing pericardial effusions after CABG, as well as reducing the incidence of supraventricular arrhythmias in the postoperative period\(^{(6)}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morbidity</th>
<th>Group I (Total=32)</th>
<th>Group II (Total = 32)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transient Renal Impairment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Serum Creatinine&gt; 1.5 mg/dl)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediastinitis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperation for bleeding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-acquired pneumonia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilator time (h)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 6.3</td>
<td>7.8 ± 6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive care unit stay (days)</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 4.7</td>
<td>8.5 ± 5.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* = statistically significant

Asimakopoulos and coworkers\(^{(11)}\) have shown that posterior pericardiotomy was more effective for pericardial drainage, and the incidence of postoperative pericardial effusion is significantly lower in this group. The results of our study also revealed highly significant differences between the two groups, indicating that a posterior pericardiotomy after coronary artery bypass graft was very effective in reducing the incidence and severity of postoperative pericardial effusion, and the adverse consequences of delayed tamponade were thereby eliminated. We also can noticed that our incidence of pericardial effusion is higher, but we can explain that as we did not used a well calibrated suction system on our drainage tubes as most of the other centers. After coronary operation, the space anterior to the heart may accommodate fluid and is easily drained from a chest drain; but behind the heart, adhesions between the inferior surface of the heart and the diaphragm may create an enclosed space. Mulay and associates\(^{(6)}\) have demonstrated that posterior pericardiotomy could drain freely into the left pleural space thereby reducing the prevalence of
pericardial effusion. We performed poste-
rior pericardiotomy posterior and parallel
to phrenic nerve from the left inferior pul-
monary vein toward the inferior vena cava
and diaphragm. This area of the pericardial
cavity was chosen because it was expected
to be the most effective in preven-
ting the accumulation of fluid postoperatively.

Conclusions

We conclude that posterior pericardio-
tomy is technically simple as well as safe
and effective. Therefore, it is recommend-
ed in coronary artery bypass surgery to re-
duce the incidence of postoperative peri-
cardial effusion.
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