Evaluation of Antimicrobial Effect of Propolis, Miswak, Green Tea Compared to Sodium Hypochlorite and Chlorhexidine as Root Canal Irrigants
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Abstract

Background: To assess the antibacterial effect of ethanolic extract of propolis, miswak and green tea compared to sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine as root canal irrigants against E. faecalis biofilm using laboratory culturing and scanning electron microscope (SEM). Materials and Methods: fifty, human, single canalled teeth were used. The crown of each tooth was sectioned. After root canal preparation and sterilization, the roots were infected with E. faecalis. Roots inoculated with E. faecalis were incubated at 37°C for 7 days. Then root canals were instrumented using Revo S NiTi files and different irrigants: Sodium hypochlorite 3% (NaOCl), 2% chlorhexidine (CHX), 20% ethanolic extract of Egyptian propolis, 20% ethanolic extract of Miswak and 20% ethanolic extract of Green tea. Root canals were sampled before (S1) and immediately after the chemomechanical preparation (S2). The data obtained collected, tabulated, and statistically analyzed. Results: NaOCl group (2.56±2.74) showed the lowest mean Log₁₀ of bacterial counts, it was non-statistically significant different from CHX group (3.83±2.37), Propolis group (4.62±1.88) and Miswak group (4.93±2.01). NaOCl group showed statistically significantly lower mean Log₁₀ of bacterial counts than Green tea group (5.82±0.16) and Saline group (6.39±0.30). Conclusion: Propolis, Salvadora Perisca and green tea offer a promising natural antimicrobial alternative and may serve as a new endodontic irrigants.
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Introduction

The use of irrigating solutions is an important part of effective chemomechanical preparation. It enhances bacterial elimination and facilitates removal of necrotic tissue and dentine chips from the root canal. Irrigants can prevent packing of the infected hard and soft tissue apically in the root canal and into the periapical area(1). The most popular endodontic irrigant is sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), which has been used for well
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over four decades. Although it is an effective antimicrobial agent and an excellent organic solvent, it is known to be highly irritant to the periapical tissues, mainly at high concentrations. For this reason the search for another irrigant with a lower potential to induce adverse effects is desirable. Chlorhexidine gluconate (CHX) has also been recommended as a root canal irrigant and many studies have demonstrated its broad spectrum antimicrobial action, substantivity, and low grade of toxicity. However the inability of chlorhexidine to dissolve pulp tissue has been a problem, some attempts were made to solve this deficiency by the combined use of NaOCl and CHX. Propolis is a natural non-toxic beehive product, which is used for building and restoration of the honey comb. In the hive, propolis act as a biocide, being active against the invasive bacteria, fungi and even invading larvae. Other biological activities have also been depicted for propolis, including antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, antitumor, immunomodulation. Anti-bacterial activity of propolis ethanolic extract of different geographic origin against oral pathogens has been studied by several authors. Miswak is mainly used to describe the stick, which is used for cleansing the teeth. Arak is the plant from which Miswak is derived (Salvadora persica). Many studies have been carried out on different types of chewing sticks focused mainly on antimicrobial activity of these sticks. Green tea is a non-fermented tea, and contains more Catechins, than black tea or oolong tea. Catechins are strong anti-oxidants. In addition, its content of certain minerals and vitamins increases the antioxidant potential of this type of tea. Green tea has been consumed throughout the ages in India, China, Japan and Thailand. Recent human studies suggested that green tea contributes to overall oral health. It has been used in dentistry and has a promising role in future.

Materials and Methods
Fifty freshly extracted, human, permanent single canalled teeth were collected. The crown of each tooth was sectioned with a diamond disc to standardize the length of samples at 17mm. Initial preparation of root canals was carried out serially to master apical file size 30 K-files. Coronal flaring was done using number 2 and 3 Gates Glidden burs. All specimens were inserted inside sterilization pack and autoclaved for 20 minutes at 121°C. Isolated colonies of E. faecalis were scraped, aseptically suspended in brain heart infusion broth, using a sterile micropipette, 20 µl of the bacterial suspension was syringed into each root canal until the entire canal space was filled with fluid. Roots inoculated with E. faecalis were incubated at 37°C for 7 days. After 7 days of experimental contamination, two root-ts were longitudinally split, subjected to scanning electron microscope (SEM) examination to confirm biofilm structure. Forty-eight roots were randomly classified into six experimental groups (n=8) according to the type of antimicrobial irrigant solutions: Group 1: treated with: Sodium hypochlorite 3% (NaOCl), Group 2: treated with: 2% chlorhexidine (CHX), Group 3: treated with: 20% ethanolic extract of Egyptian propolis, Group 4: treated with: 20% ethanolic extract of Miswak, Group 5: treated with: 20% ethanolic extract of Green tea, Group 6: treated with: Saline (control). In all
groups, root canals were instrumented using rotary Revo S NiTi files with crown down technique. After the use of each file, 3 ml of the irrigant according to its group was injected into the root canal for a total of 30 ml irrigation in each root canal. Root canals were sampled before (S1) and immediately after the chemomechanical preparation (S2). Root canals were filled with sterile saline and the samples were taken by three dry sterile paper points placed to the full WL kept in the canal for 1 min then transferred to tubes containing 0.5 mL BHI broth solution. After obtaining 1:10 serial dilution from each sample, aliquots were plated out on KF streptococcus agar plates and spread using sterile platinum loop. Then the plates were incubated anaerobically at 37 C for 2 days. The number of bacterial colonies of *E. faecalis* were counted and expressed as CFUs using a digital colony counter. The data obtained collected, tabulated, and statistically analyzed.

**Results**

Before chemomechanical preparation, there was no statistically significant difference between the groups. While after preparation; there was a statistically significant difference. Although NaOCl group (2.56±2.74) showed the lowest mean Log$_{10}$ of bacterial counts, it was non-statistically significantly different from CHX group (3.83±2.37), Propolis group (4.62±1.88) and Miswak group (4.93±2.01). NaOCl group showed statistically significantly lower mean Log$_{10}$ of bacterial counts than Green tea group (5.82±0.16) and Saline group (6.39±0.30). Saline group showed the highest mean Log$_{10}$ of bacterial counts (6.39±0.30). It showed statistically significant difference from all groups except Green tea group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before preparation</th>
<th>After preparation</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean Log$_{10}$</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean Log$_{10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOCl</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHX</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propolis</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miswak</td>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green tea</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>6.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Significant at $P \leq 0.05$

**Discussion**

Results of this invito part indicated that the most effective root canal irrigant for disrupting biofilms and achieving a negative culture was 3% NaOCl followed by 2% CHX which effectively reduced the CFU (CHX group results showed non-statistically significant difference from NaOCl group (99.39±0.44). This agreed with many studies; Giardino et al.\(^{(2)}\) showed that
5.25% NaOCl could disintegrate and remove the *E. faecalis* biofilm generated on cellulose nitrate membrane filters at all tested times starting from 5 minutes up to 60 minutes. Dunavant et al\(^{(8)}\) revealed that 6% NaOCl was able to eliminate the *E. faecalis* biofilm after 1 and 5 minutes. Whereas, 2% CHX was less effective; achieving 60.5% kill. Moreover, Clegg et al\(^{(9)}\) reported that 6% NaOCl applied for 15min was capable of rendering bacteria non-viable and physically removing the polymicrobial biofilm generated on hemisections of root apices. They also reported that 2% CHX applied for the same time duration resulted in negative cultures from the specimens, but failed to disrupt the biofilm completely as revealed by SEM examination.

![Figure 1: Bar chart representing changes after preparation in mean Log\(_{10}\) CFU of bacterial counts (in vitro study)](image)

Also, Abdullah et al\(^{(10)}\) found that *E. faecalis* grown in biofilm to be more resistant to 0.2% CHX than to 3% NaOCl; where the latter achieved a 100% kill in 2 minutes time. Similarly, Spratt et al\(^{(11)}\) showed that 2.25% NaOCl achieved a 100% kill of *E. faecalis* grown on cellulose nitrate membrane filters after 15 minutes while 0.2% CHX was effective after 60 minutes. Furthermore, Senia et al\(^{(12)}\) revealed that 5.25% NaOCl, with and without mechanical agitation, eliminated single species biofilm of *E. faecalis* in 30 seconds. They also revealed that 2% CHX, with agitation, eradicated both organisms in 30 seconds’ time. Such great efficiency in eliminating intracanal microorganisms of NaOCl might be due to hypochlorite acid which is a powerful oxidizing agent that produces an antimicrobial effect by irreversible oxidation of hydrosulphuric groups of bacterial enzymes. As essential enzymes are inhibited, disturbing the metabolic functions of the bacterial cell occurred resulting in the death of bacterial cells. Chlorine can also adhere to bacterial cytoplasm components forming highly toxic N-chloro...
composites that destroy the microorganisms. The SEM observation after 3% NaOCl irrigation confirmed the negative culture results, which was in accordance with Clegg et al.\(^5\) The antimicrobial effectiveness of NaOCl might also be attributed to its tissue dissolving capacity and therefore it may be less inhibited by the extracellular matrix of the biofilm. Consequently, the removal of the organic tissue eliminates the bacterial attachment to the surface and to other microorganisms. SEM images after 2% CHX irrigation showed residual bacteria and exopolymeric material persisting on the dentine surface. This was in consistence with findings of Clegg et al.\(^5\), who noted a virtually intact biofilm after exposure to CHX. CHX has consistently been found less effective than NaOCl in biofilm studies.\(^{10}\) On the other hand, these results were not consistent with Önçag et al.\(^{13}\), who tested CHX on root segments infected with \(E.\) faecalis. They concluded that CHX, whether alone, in a concentration of 2%, 0.2% or combined with cetrimide, was more effective than NaOCl. The difference could be attributed to the residual antibacterial effect of CHX. Önçag et al.\(^{13}\) evaluated the antibacterial efficacy after 48 h and 2 weeks in their model; whereas in our study the antibacterial efficacy was evaluated immediately after the chemo mechanical preparation. Our study showed that the antimicrobial activity of 20% propolis ethanolic extract was less effective than NaOCl and CHX against \(E.\) faecalis; however, there was non-statistically significant difference between NaOCl, CHX and propolis. This was in agreement with many studies; Bruschi et al.\(^{14}\), who reported good antimicrobial activity of propolis against \(E.\) faecalis; Nara et al.\(^{15}\), concluded that propolis has good antimicrobial activity however this activity was much lower than NaOCl. Also, Awawdeh et al.\(^{16}\) concluded that propolis is very effective as intracanal medicament in rapidly eliminating \(E.\) faecalis. Moreover, Al-Qathmi and Al-Mad\(^{17}\) found that propolis was as effective as NaOCl when used as an antimicrobial irrigant on extracted human teeth. Recent studies done by Kandaswamy et al.\(^{18}\) and Kayaoglu et al.\(^{19}\) concluded that the antimicrobial activity of the propolis against \(E.\) faecalis was between Ca (OH)\(_2\) and CHX. However, this activity did not exceed CHX. One hypothesis is that previous studies used an ethanol extract of propolis and this extraction process may free up more of the active antimicrobial components of propolis such as the flavonoids and flavonones. Macedo et al.\(^{20}\) stated, “Several herbal, animal and microbial extracts possess quorum-quenching activity but few active compounds and synthetic analogues are known. It is possible that through the extraction process the compound availability is altered to enhance the antimicrobial effect; Bulman et al.\(^{21}\) found propolis to contain compounds that suppress the quorum-sensing response. Our study may be exemplifying this anti-quorum-sensing effect. By interfering with quorum sensing, it may be disallowing bacteria to aggregate in a structural and functional manner that is necessary for them to thrive as a biofilm. This could be one of the mechanisms by which the antimicrobial extracts of propolis function. Quite the contrary, the study done by Gupta et al.\(^{22}\) showed that 30% propolis extract was not effective against \(E.\) faecalis. One factor that
could be responsible for this ineffectiveness of the propolis solutions is their low pH values. The pH for 30% propolis in Dimethyl sulfoxide was determined to be 4.9-5.2, whereas it was 3.8-4.2 for the Ethyl alcohol solution. Mchugh et al\(^{23}\) found that pH 10.5-11.0 retarded the growth of E. faecalis, whereas at pH 11.5 or greater, E. faecalis was destroyed. Comparisons of the antimicrobial effect between 3% NaOCl, 2% CHX and 20% Salvadora Perisca showed that all were effective against E. faecalis expressed by significant reduction in mean bacterial count. However, the antibacterial activity of 20% Salvadora Perisca was the least. These findings come in accordance with previous studies\(^{24,25}\).

**Conclusion**

We conclude that: 1- The biofilm model is effective in determining the in vitro antimicrobial efficacy of different root canal irrigants. 2-The effectiveness of NaOCl and CHX are confirmed and proved to be able to remove the biofilm organized on the root canal walls. 3-Propolis, Salvadora Perisca and green tea alcoholic extracts at 20% concentration show considerable antimicrobial effect against chronic apical periodontitis microbes generally and E. faecalis definitely. Thus, Propolis, Salvadora Perisca and green tea offer a promising natural antimicrobial alternative and may serve as a new endodontic irrigants.
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