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Abstract

Background: Antioxidant effect of herbal products like Silymarin & Ginger can get rid of free radicals. Nanoparticles can reach the target easily without inactivation, increase the bioavailability of the therapy and reduce its toxicity. Aim: To evaluate the protective effect of silymarin and nano-encapsulation of Ginger extract on hepatotoxicity in adult male albino rats. Materials and Methods: Eighty rats were randomized into eight groups (10 rats each). Group I (control): subdivided into 3 control subgroups; Group II: rats received nano-encapsulation of ginger extract 50 mg/kg/day by oral gavage every day. Group III: rats received 0.1 ml/100 gm/kg of Ccl₄ dissolved in corn oil (1:1) twice a week by intraperitoneal injection. Group IV: Silymarin was given in a dose of 200 mg/kg/day dissolved in one ml distilled water by oral gavage every day with Ccl₄. Group V: nano-encapsulation of ginger 50 mg/kg/day by oral gavage every day Ccl₄. Group VI: the doses were administrated as in groups II, III &IV for six weeks in all groups. At the end of the experiment, rats were anesthetized, blood samples collected for ALT and AST measurement then sacrificed and the liver was excised, for SOD, H&E, and histoimmunological studies. Results: Ccl₄-induced hepatotoxicity can be protected by silymarin or/and nano-encapsulation of ginger that showed more improvement than silymarin in histopathological and immunohistochemical, morphometric, SOD, ALT, and AST results. Conclusion: The study found that hepatotoxicity can be protected by the administration of silymarin or/and nano-encapsulation of ginger extract. Nano-encapsulation of the ginger extract showed more improvement than silymarin.
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Introduction

Liver damage and its complications as fibrosis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma became one of the most major causes of morbidity and mortality in the world⁴. Standardized death rate of liver fibrosis and its complications in Egypt was 64.4-133.4 per 100.000 according to Word Health Organization WHO survey in 2017². Liver diseases are more common in Middle East and North Africa especially in Egypt⁵. There are many causes of liver fibrosis such as chronic hepatitis C&B infection, alcoholic liver, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), auto immune diseases and toxic substances. According to WHO survey in 2018, liver cirrhosis is the 9th cause of death in developing countries and about 150 million patients have hepatitis C. So, huge
numbers of researches were developed to get rid of liver fibrosis and stop its sequelae\(^4\). Previous research proved that herbal products which are rich in flavonoids protect against liver diseases. These herbal products have an antioxidant effect and can get rid of free radicals that cause lipid peroxidation and membrane damage. Silymarin is one of these herbal products which is extracted from seeds of milk thistle (silybum marinus). It is rich in flavonoids which inhibit lipid peroxidation, have antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anti-fibrotic effect\(^5\). Ginger (the rhizome of Zingiber officinale) is used to treat and protect against various diseases. It has antioxidant activity, anti-inflammatory and can protect the tissue from free radicals that cause oxidative stress and lead to membrane damage and protects against tumors. Ginger also has a great role in protection of liver diseases such as liver fibrosis through inhibition of lipid peroxidation, elevation levels of Super Oxide Dismutase (SOD), Catalase and decreasing liver enzymes such as Alanine Aminotransferase (ALT) and Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST), also has hepatoprotective role against hepatotoxicity induced by Carbon tetrachloride Ccl\(_4\)\(^6\). Nanoparticles (NPs) are Nano structures in the nanometer range, NPs have several advantages in treatment of various diseases because it can reach the target tissue easily without inactivation, increase bioavailability of the therapeutic agent, reduce toxicity of it and can increase broad spectrum of administration routes \(^7\). So, researchers use them in delivery of drugs, proteins, DNA or genes to target tissues especially the liver as they deliver drugs at higher concentration, increase the stability of volatile drugs and have low immunogenicity\(^8\). The rationale of this study was to evaluate the protective effect of ginger extract encapsulated in Sodium Alginate NPs compared to Silymarin on hepatotoxicity induced by Ccl\(_4\) in adult male albino rats.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

This study was carried out on eighty adult male Sprague Dawley albino rats weighing (150-200 gm). Their ages ranged from 12 to 16 weeks. The animals were brought from the animal house of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Suez Canal University. This study was done at the Department of Human Anatomy and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University and was approved by the Animal Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University (Approval number 4026). Animal's care before and during the experimental procedures was done in accordance with the guidelines of the Animal Research Ethics Committee.

**Experimental design**

Eighty male Sprague Dawley albino rats were randomly divided in eight equal groups (10 rats for each) as follow: Group I (control group) which is divided into three subgroups: Group IA: (negative control group): rats had no intervention. Group IB: (positive control group): rats received one ml corn oil twice a week (three days apart) for six weeks by intra peritoneal injection (IP)\(^9\). Group IC: (positive control group): rats received one ml sterile distilled water every day orally for six weeks. Group II (nano-encapsulation of Ginger Extract group): normal rats received nano-encapsulation of ginger extract in a dose of 50 mg/kg/day by oral gavage every day for six weeks\(^10\). Group III: (Carbon tetrachloride group (Ccl\(_4\) group)): rats received 0.1 ml/100 gm of body weight of Ccl\(_4\) dissolved in corn oil (1:1) twice a week (three days
apart) for six consecutive weeks by (IP)\(^{(11)}\).

Group IV: (Carbon tetrachloride and Silymarin group): Silymarin powder was given in a dose of 200 mg/kg/day dissolved in one ml distilled water by oral gavage every day for six weeks with CCl\(_4\)\(^{(11,13)}\).

Group V: (Carbon tetrachloride and Nano-Encapsulation of Ginger Extract group): nano-encapsulation of ginger extract was given in a dose of 50 mg/kg/day by oral gavage every day for six weeks with CCl\(_4\)\(^{(10,11,14)}\).

Group VI: (Carbon tetrachloride, Silymarin and Nano-encapsulation of Ginger extract group): Rats were administered the doses as in group II, III &IV for six weeks.

**Chemicals**

Carbon Tetrachloride was purchased from ADWIC Co, Egypt. Silymarin was purchased from ALFACURE Pharmaceuticals Company, Badr city, Cairo, Egypt as legalon capsules (30 capsules, 140mg). Nano-Encapsulation of Ginger Extract was prepared in National Research Center, Cairo, Egypt.

**Physical and chemical properties of Ginger extract and nano-encapsulation form**

**I-Transmission Electron Microscopy**

The morphology of ginger extract and its Nano-Encapsulation form in sodium alginate was obtained by using transmission electron microscopy at 80 kilovolts (JEOL JEM.10.10 EM) at the Regional Center for Mycology and Biotechnology, Al-Azhar University. The samples were observed by operating at 160 kV (Fig 1).

**II-Zeta-sizer and Zeta-potential of Ginger extract and its nano-encapsulation form**

The size of NPs and zeta potential of ginger extract and nano-encapsulation form sample were measured using dynamic light scattering by Zeta-sizer (Malvern, Model: Zeta-sizer nano series (Nano ZS), United Kingdom). Samples were measured after five minutes equilibration at 25°C and results were reported as the average of three measurements \(^{(15)}\)(Fig 2).

**Measurement of liver weight**

At the end of the experiment and after blood samples were collected, rats were anaesthetized by ketamine 60 mg/kg intra peritoneally and then sacrificed by decapitation and the liver of each rat was excised and weighted \(^{(16)}\).

**Biochemical analysis of liver enzymes**

All animals were fasted for 12hours, then blood samples were collected from retro orbital venous plexus for ALT and AST measurement\(^{(12)}\).

**Assessment of antioxidant enzymes (SOD)**

Left lobe of liver tissue of each animal was dissected and homogenized in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for determination of SOD. Kits were purchased from Bio diagnostic Company, Giza, Egypt\(^{(17,18)}\).

**Light Microscopic Examination**

Right lobe of liver tissue was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formaldehyde for 24 hours and the specimens were processed and embedded in paraffin \(^{(19,20)}\). Sections (4µm thick) were subjected to the following staining techniques: Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stain & Immunohistochemical stain for assessment of Alpha smooth muscle actin (α-SMA)\(^{(21)}\). SMA antibody was obtained from Sigma Biochemical (St. Louis, Missouri, USA). Sections were studied using Leica Microsystems Light Microscope, Germany.

**Morphometric analysis**

The images were investigated using FIJI image processing software, the mean color area percentage of brown pigments indicated α-SMA reactivity in immune-histochemical stained sections.
Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed with Statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) (version 17). The normality test of data was non-significant \((p>0.05)\). Statistically significant difference was determined by Uni-Variate analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test and with Post hoc Bonferroni test for quantitative variables.

Results

I-The weight of the liver

Regarding Mean and standard deviation (SD), there was high statistically significant difference in liver weights between different groups. There was no statistically significant difference in liver weight between groups I, II, V&VI. While there was high statistically significant increase in liver weight in group III when compared to control groups, high significant decrease in group V &VI when compared to group III, but no significant difference between groups III & IV (Table 1).

II-Biochemical analysis of liver enzymes

The results presented in table 2 revealed that there was no difference between I &II groups. There was high statistically significant increase in their levels in group III when compared to control group and in groups IV, V &VI when compared to control. Also, there was high statistically significant decrease in their levels in IV, V &VI groups when compared to group III. High significant decrease in ALT level in VI group when compared to IV &V groups while AST level in VI group was high significantly decreased when compared to IV group, its level also in V group was high significantly decreased when compared to IV group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Groups</th>
<th>Liver weights (gm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group IA</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IB</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IC</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.53 <strong>(b)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.19 <strong>(a)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IV</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group V</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.64 <em>(b)</em>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group VI</td>
<td>9.8 ± 0.76 <strong>(b)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD, Univariate ANOVA, Bonferroni test was used for post-hoc test.

III-Assessment of antioxidant enzyme (SOD)

Figure 3 revealed that there was no difference between groups I, II and IV, but there was high statistically significant decrease in the level of SOD in group III when compared to group I. High statistically significant decrease in IV &V groups when compared to group I. There was high statistically significant increase in groups IV, V and VI when compared to group III. Also, high significant increase in SOD level in VI group when compared to IV and V groups.
Table 2: The difference of AST and ALT levels between study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Groups</th>
<th>ALT level (IU/L)</th>
<th>AST level (IU/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group IA</td>
<td>48.8 ± 4.02</td>
<td>108.5 ± 10.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IB</td>
<td>50.9 ± 5.17</td>
<td>114.5 ± 8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IC</td>
<td>51.1 ± 4.23</td>
<td>118.4 ± 8.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>53.3 ± 4.11 (b)</td>
<td>120.9 ± 15.55 (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>395.7 ± 44.69 (a)</td>
<td>384.3 ± 21.0 (c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IV</td>
<td>307.0 ± 33.43 (a), (b)</td>
<td>314.5 ± 9.83 (a), (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group V</td>
<td>291.0 ± 36.73 (a), (b)</td>
<td>257.0 ± 16.75 (a), (b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group VI</td>
<td>186.6 ± 9.07 (a), (b), (c)</td>
<td>240.0 ± 16.24 (a), (b), (c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD, Univariate ANOVA, Bonferroni test was used for post-hoc test. High statistically significant at p < 0.01. (a): high statistically significant when compared to group I. (b): high statistically significant when compared to group III. (c): high statistically significant when compared to group IV. (d): high statistically significant when compared to group V.

Table 3: Mean number and ± SD of the area percentage of positive α-SMA in the different groups (N=80).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal groups</th>
<th>Area percentage of α-SMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>2.99 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>3.77 ± 0.97 **(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>36.9 ± 7.07 **(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IV</td>
<td>16.14 ± 1.93 **(a), **(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group V</td>
<td>10.42 ± 1.08 **(a), **(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group VI</td>
<td>4.85 ± 1.69 **(b), **(c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD, Univariate ANOVA, Bonferroni for post-hoc test. * Statistically significant at p < 0.05, **high statistically significant at p < 0.01. * (a): statistically significant when compared to Group I. ** (a): high statistically significant when compared to Group I. ** (b): high statistically significant when compared to Group III. **(c): high statistically significant when compared to Group IV.

IV-Light Microscopic results

A-Hematoxylin and eosin stain

Stained liver sections with H&E of control and Nano-encapsulation of ginger extract groups showed normal liver architecture, there were regular arranged hepatic cords radiating from central vein forming hepatic lobules. Hepatic plates were separated by blood sinusoids which were lined by sinusoidal endothelial cells and amoeoboid shaped cells with ovoid nucleus called von-Kupffer cells. Portal triads were also shown at the periphery of hepatic lobules and contained branch of hepatic artery, portal vein and inter lobular bile duct. Hepatic cords were formed of hepatocytes which were polygonal cells, with single prominent central vesicular nucleus and prominent nucleolus but the remainder were binucleated cells, its cytoplasm had basophilic granules (Figures 4a, 4b). Liver sections of Ccl4 treated rats revealed loss of normal architecture of hepatic lobules with lobular inflammation. Hepatocytes show-ed cytoplasmic vacuolation with focal necrosis and dilated congested portal vein, Sinusoidal congestion, and dilatation with Kupffer cells hypertrophy, dilated bile canaliculi with bile stasis (Figures 5a, 5b).
Ccl$_4^+$ silymarin treated rats revealed mild restoration of normal liver architecture. Cytoplasmic vacuolation decreased compared to Ccl$_4$ group with areas of focal necrosis, central vein and portal vein became less dilated but still congested. Inflammatory infiltrate was observed (Figure 6 (a &b)). While in liver sections of Ccl$_4^+$ nano-encapsulation of ginger extract group were revealed no dilatation or congestion in central veins, few hepatocytes showed ballooning degeneration, no dilation in blood sinusoids or hypertrophy of Kupffer cell. Portal vein became less dilated with no congestion or bile stasis (Figure 7 (a &b)). Liver architecture in this group was improved compared to Ccl$_4$ and Ccl$_4^+$ silymarin groups. H&E-stained liver sections of Ccl$_4^+$ silymarin + nano-encapsulation of ginger extract treated rats revealed restoration of normal liver architecture (Figure 8 (a &b)).
**B- α-SMA actin Immunohistochemical stain**

Immuno-stained liver sections of control and nano-encapsulation of ginger extract groups revealed very mild expression of α-SMA in the stroma of portal veins and hepatic arteries, with no reaction in hepatic lobules within hepatocytes (Fig. 4 (c+d)). Sections of Ccl4 treated rats revealed intense expression of α-SMA. Dense brown granules were seen in the walls of central veins and blood sinusoids and in the stroma of portal triad (Fig. 5 (c+d)). Immunostained liver sections of Ccl4+ silymarin treated rats revealed moderate deposition in the walls of central veins and around blood sinusoids. Also, mild reactivity following bands of fibrous tissue was detected (Fig. 6 (c+d)). Liver sections of Ccl4+ nano-encapsulation of ginger extract group revealed mild immune reactivity of α-SMA in the walls of central veins, also, no reactivity following fibrous bands between central, or portal veins (Fig. 7 (c+d) was found. Sections of Ccl4+ silymarin+ nano-encapsulation of ginger extract treated rats revealed very mild immune expression of α-SMA like that of the control group in the stroma of portal veins and hepatic arteries, with no reactivity following fibrous bands between central or portal veins (Fig. 8 (c+d)).

**Figure 3:** Means of SOD level in different groups. Mean ± SD, Univariate ANOVA followed by Bonferroni for post-hoc test

**Morphometric analysis**

Area percentage of immune histochemical staining of α-SMA of the group I, II & V1 revealed very mild reaction, but there was strong positive reaction in groups III and IV when compared to control group. There was high statistically significant decrease in area percentage of α-SMA in groups IV,
V&IV when compared to group III. There was high statistically significant decrease in group V when compared to group III & IV. High significant decrease was observed in VI group when compared to IV group (Table 3).

**Figure 4:** (a): A photomicrograph of the liver of control and nano-encapsulation of ginger extract groups showing normal hepatocytes (H), central vein (CV), Kupffer cell (K) and blood sinusoids (double arrow). (b): portal triad: portal vein (PV), hepatic artery (A) and bile ductules (D) (H&E; ×400). (c); Immuno-stained sections of α-SMA showing very mild immune reactivity around central vein (CV) (black arrow). (d); Very mild immune-reactivity of α-SMA around portal vein (PV), hepatic artery (A) (black arrow) and Bile duct (D). (α-SMA; ×400).

**Discussion**

Many drugs were manufactured to relieve liver fibrosis, but these anti-fibrotic drugs produced harmful side effects on humans. New strategies of treatment aimed to use antioxidants due to their great effect on oxidative stress in the liver especially herbal types\(^{(22)}\). Silymarin is a natural flavonoid which has anti-fibrotic, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, immune stimulating, regenerative and hepto-protective effect. It shares in regeneration of liver cells, and it was clinically studied in patients with cirrhosis, NAFLD, drug induced liver injury and gave great results\(^{(23)}\). Ginger has many bio-active phenolics and it is considered as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-carcinogenic, anti-diabetic, anti-lipidemic, anti-emetic and analgesic substance\(^{(24)}\). But unfortunately, these products are still not efficiently taken up by activated HSCs despite their great effect on reducing oxidative stress. So, researchers began to increase their efficacy by using nano technology to resolve this problem and increase the potential effect of any drug or substance through using therapeutic NPs to be targeted to HSCs receptors and others included in mechanisms of liver fibrosis as silver, zinc oxide and gold NPs but toxicological effects of these NPs were detected.
on humans\textsuperscript{(25)}. We evaluated the effect of both silymarin, and ginger extract encapsulated in sodium alginate NPs individually or combined on hepatotoxicity induced by Ccl\textsubscript{4} in adult male albino rats. Ccl\textsubscript{4} is metabolized by cytochrome P450 to tri chloromethyl and trichloro methyl peroxy that led to hepatocytes damage\textsuperscript{(26)}.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure5.png}
\caption{(a); A photomicrograph of the liver of Ccl\textsubscript{4} group showing dilated central vein (CV), ballooning degeneration of hepatocytes (B) and sinusoidal congestion (black arrow). (b); dilated congested portal vein (PV) with pyknotic nuclei (black arrow), ballooning degeneration of hepatocytes (B), necrotic spots (N), dilated blood sinusoids (double arrow), Kupffer cell hypertrophy (K), hepatic artery (A) and bile duct (D) (H&E; ×400). (c); Immuno-stained sections of α-SMA showing intense brownish positive immune expression in the wall of central vein (CV) (black arrow). (d); Intense brownish positive immune expression of α-SMA in the wall of dilated portal vein (PV), hepatic artery (A) and bile duct (D) (α-SMA; ×400).}
\end{figure}

In the present study, we used Ccl\textsubscript{4} in inducing liver damage as significant fibrosis occurred after two-four weeks from exposure to it\textsuperscript{(27)}. Our results agreed with Abdelgayed \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(28)} who reported that ginger nanoparticles gave better therapeutic results through reducing the parameters of liver toxicity and providing a safe method for drug delivery in addition to excellent biocompatibility relative to ginger extract. Motawi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(29)} noticed that ethanol extract of ginger had more potent effect than silymarin on liver weight and Bencheikh \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(30)} mentioned that Ccl\textsubscript{4} toxicity led to alteration in collagen synthesis and deposition of collagen I and III in liver leading to disturbance of normal architecture and increase liver weight while silymarin inhibited that. This confirmed our results as we noticed that liver weight was decreased significantly in Ccl\textsubscript{4} + nano encapsulation of ginger extract and mixed groups. In the present study, ALT and AST levels were significantly increased in Ccl\textsubscript{4} group, we observed that mixture of silymarin and nano-encapsulation of ginger extract improved ALT level more than each of them alone while AST level improved in mixed group and Ccl\textsubscript{4}+ nano-encapsulation of ginger extract group more than Ccl\textsubscript{4}.
Our Results similar to Ramezannezhad et al.\(^{(31)}\) which found that silymarin has a potential effect on amelioration of biochemical enzymes in liver toxicity. Another study explained the antioxidant and anti-apoptotic activity of silymarin against lead toxicity and its improving effect on liver biomarkers\(^{(32)}\). Cheong et al.\(^{(33)}\) reported that zingerone (one of active components of ginger) had a hepatoprotective effect due to its anti-birotic, anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory effect as it can attenuate inflammatory mediators as TNF-α and IL-1B, prevention of leakage of enzymes and cellular membrane damage. Another study proved that six-gingerol (an active compound of ginger) attenuated the effect of diethyl nitrosamine induced liver damage and had ameliorating effect on ALT and AST levels\(^{(34)}\).

Another research studied that administration of ginger to diethyl nitrosamine treated rats depressed upregulation of expression of this factor and improved liver function tests\(^{(35)}\). Contrary to this results, Al-Naqeeb et al.\(^{(36)}\) reported that 500 mg of aqueous extract of ginger led to disturbance in ALT and AST levels making mild toxicity. SOD is one of antioxidant enzymes in mammalian bodies as it is a metalloenzyme that detoxifies superoxide anions by catalyzing them into \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) and \(\text{O}_2\)\(^{(37)}\). In the present study, there was significant decrease in the level of SOD in \(\text{Ccl}_4\) group compared to control and High significant increase in \(\text{Ccl}_4 +\) silymarin, \(\text{Ccl}_4 +\) nano-encapsulation of ginger extract and mixed groups. We also noticed that in mixed group, its level was significantly increased compared to each treatment alone. Abdel-Moneim et al.\(^{(38)}\) also reported the same results. Reduction in level of antioxidant enzymes as SOD leads to high levels of ROS which destroy lipids, proteins and DNA.

Figure 6: (a); A photomicrograph of the liver of \(\text{Ccl}_4 +\) silymarin group showing hepatic cords arranged around central vein (CV), area of focal necrosis (N) and dilated blood sinusoids (double arrow). (b); Inflammatory infiltrate (I), portal vein (PV), hepatic artery (A), bile duct (D) and Kupffer cell (K) (H&E; × 400). (c); Immuno-stained sections of \(\alpha\)-SMA showing moderate positive immune expression of \(\alpha\)-SMA in the wall of dilated central vein (CV). (d); Moderate positive immune expression of \(\alpha\)-SMA in the stroma of portal vein (PV), hepatic artery (A) and Bile duct (D) (\(\alpha\)-SMA; ×400).
inducing apoptosis and tissue injury. ROS affect mitochondria and change in energy metabolism, while pretreatment with ginger improved oxidative status in liver through increasing the level of these enzymes\(^ {(38)} \). Also, the same results reported by other studies\(^ {\text{(35,40)}} \). Our results by H&E stain found loss of normal architecture of liver tissue in Ccl\(_4\) group, but liver architecture was restored to a great extent in mixed group. Similar results reported by\(^ {\text{(41)}} \) who explained effect of Ccl\(_4\) on Bax and Bcl-2 family in inducing apoptosis, on the other hand, bile stasis and leakage were due to obstruction of bile canaliculi by fibrosis and accumulation of bile inside so that over distended canaliculi ruptured, and bile was leaked so that hepatocellular functions were inhibited by free radicals especially bile salt efflux pump with subsequent bile retention and impaired membrane permeability. Another study proved the protective effect of silymarin on hepatotoxicity due to their antioxidant activity and their improving effect on liver tissue\(^ {\text{(42)}} \).

**Figure 7:** (a); A photomicrograph of the liver of Ccl\(_4\), nano-encapsulation of ginger extract group showing regular arranged hepatic cords around central vein (CV) and few ballooning degeneration of hepatocytes (B) and normal Kupffer cells (K), (b); normal portal vein (PV), hepatic artery (A), bile duct (D) and blood sinusoids (double arrow) (H&E; \(\times400\)). (c); Immunostained sections of \(\alpha\)-SMA showing mild positive immune expression around central vein (CV). (d); mild positive immune expression around portal vein (PV), hepatic artery (A) and bile duct (D) (\(\alpha\)-SMA; \(\times400\)).

Also, Motawi et al.\(^ {\text{(29)}} \) who reported the ability of ginger especially ethanol extract to reduce collagen deposition and restored normal appearance of hepatocytes more than silymarin. Bakr et al.\(^ {\text{(43)}} \) evaluated the effect of ginger extract and ginger NPs on hepatotoxicity and nephrotoxicity and found that NPs gave more potent effect on liver and kidney tissue than ginger only as nanoparticles have more hepatoprotective, reno protective and antioxidant effect than ginger extract because NPs are highly
resistant to digestion. So, great amounts of them could reach to liver after oral administration providing safe method of delivery and improving drug bioavailability within the hepatocytes. Also, presence of shogaols in ginger have more affinity to bind with NPs form than free form in ginger extract. So that, less amount of shogaol carried by ginger NPs can achieve more effect on hepatocytes. He also explained that Shogaols in ginger regulate the genetic expression of antioxidant enzymes and stimulate of nuclear factor-erythroid 2–related factor 2 which is anti-inflammatory mediator. In our study, α-SMA expression was assessed by immunohistochemical staining and morphometric analysis. We found minimal reactivity in the control, nano-encapsulation of ginger extract and mixed groups but in Ccl₄ group, there was intense reactivity. In Ccl₄ and silymarin group, reaction of α-SMA was moderate and in Ccl₄ and nano encapsulation of ginger extract group was mild reaction. Our results were agreed with Algandaby et al. who reported that the effect of gingerol on hepatic fibrosis and observed marked expression of αSMA in toxic group and limited expression of it with gingerol. Also Eraky et al. who explained the protective effect of silymarin against thioacetamide toxicity and evaluated αSMA expression which was improved with silymarin.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the present study found that Ccl₄ induced hepatotoxicity can be protected by administration of silymarin or/and nano-encapsulation of ginger extract. Nano-encapsulation of ginger extract showed more improvement than silymarin. Mixed group showed marked improvement more than each of them.
separately in histopathological and immunohistochemical results, antioxidant enzymes and in some biochemical parameters and that Nano-encapsulation of ginger extract can promote normal liver functions with no adverse effects. From the above, it seems that nano-encapsulation of various drugs allow better distribution of the active gradient of the drug, and it will give a revolution step in administration of treating agent in the future.
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